## Approved For Release 2002/06/19: CIA RDR88 00893R000200080g096 } 90.6.23

28 NOV 1978

OCCS-M-78-149

	MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Engineering Division, OC Chief, Foreign Networks Division, OC Chief,	25X1A				
25X1A	FROM : Chief, Communications Division, OC	25/17				
	SUBJECT : Recommended Security Enhancements for ARS and MAXCON	25X1				
25X1	1 The attached MFR is the product of a cursory single fault analysis of the Automated Relay System (ARS) and MAXCON output circuitry. The analysis was performed by OC-CSD/EAB with OC-ED/CPS assistance. The simulation of a single fault, as described in paragraph 4, clearly demonstrates the potential for a compromise of classified information.					
25X1	2. We request that the paragraph 6 alternatives and procedures be implemented as enhancements to the security of the ARS and MAXCON systems. Implementation of these enhancements will effectively double the protection against the possibility of a compromise of classified information. The hardware changes recommended are a matter of straping and can be effected in a relatively short period of time. The software changes should not be difficult since there is no apparent					
25X1 25X1A	need for unique software development.  3					
	•					
1	Attachments: As stated	25X1				
	Distribution:					
	Original - Addresses 1 - OC Record Copy File 1 - OC-CSD Chrono  COORD: (5) P. COORD: (5) P. COORD: (5) P. COORD: (5) P. COORD: (7) P. COORD: (					
25X1A 25X1A	OC-CSD/EAB/CSDS 20Nov78 CONFIDENTIAL					
	дитн / Approved For Release 2002/06/19 : CIA-RDP&8/-0€893₽00€200080009-6					

## Approved For Release 2002 6 19 : PARD P38 0089 3 R 000 2000 80009-6

b. Buss Addresses 7670008 and 7674008 are used for Maxcon/Record Channel interfacing.

Given a and b, there is no known addressing conflict.

8. This analysis has been confined primarily to the LCM, due to the fact that there are software/hardware addressi failure was not made, since there are no alternatives to the existing LTU software/hardware addressing structure. Any chan in this structure would require a redesign of the LTU integration.							
:			25X1A				

Attachment:

25X1

Automated Relay System Addressing Structure, Figures 1,2,63

- 4 -

FILE: 90.6.6

## Approved For Release 2002/06 19 CM-PDF88-0089 2000200080009-6

25X1

6. \_\_\_ The following alternative addressing and operational procedures will enhance the security of the ARS.

a. By selectively choosing the LCM/LTU software/ hardware addresses, ARS security protection will be doubled. These addresses are:

## Buss Address Bits

	BA	11	10	9	8	
LCM 1		0	0	0	02	<b>7</b> 60002 <sub>8</sub>
LCM 2		0	0	1	12	7614028
LCM 3		0	1	1	02	7630028
LCM 4		1	0	1	02	7650028
LCM 5		1	1	0	0 2	7660028

Given the proposition that each "1" and "0" represents an integrated circuit, it is obvious that two IC failures vice one, would be required to produce the conditions described in the preceding paragraphs i.e., compromise of classified information.

- b. Security may be further enhanced by a vertical re-alignment of station/LTU assignments according to category of traffic i.e., all LTU 0's for other agency, all LTU 1's for \_\_\_\_\_\_etc. It is recognized that this enhancement may not be totally possible/practical.
- c. Failures of this nature, produce an audible network alarm and LTU failure printouts at the circuit control position. The ARS SOP should include instructions for the communicator to <a href="immediately">immediately</a> remove the LCM associated with the LTU failure printouts. This will disable the entire shelf.
- 7. The above changes should also be incorporated in the MAXCON (Max Concentrator). It should be noted that:
  - a. In the basic C900 (Collins 900) System, Buss Addresses 7600008 through 7624008 are used for CLCM (Communications Link Control Module) and TLCM (Trunk Link Control Module) addressing. These Modules are not implemented in the ARS or the MAXCON.

Next 8 Page(s) In Document Exempt